Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
08/893,917	LITTAU ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
Rudy Zervigon	1716		

Part of Paper No. 20100630

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	ew filed 26 May	2010.
 Improper Request – The Regression (s): 	Request is improper and	d a conference	will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment if☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	iew is appropria	ite.
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office con			ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the rief in accordance with n from mailing this deci tice of appeal, whichey 7 CFR 1.136 based up	ere is at least on 37 CFR 41.37. sion, or the bala er is greater. Fu	e-Appeal Brief conference has been be actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period burther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-24, 27 Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	<u>, 28</u> .	im(s) is as follov	vs:
3. ☐ Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.			
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A capacition will be mailed. No further a			
All participants:			
(1) Rudy Zervigon.		(3) <u>Christine Tie</u>	<u>erney</u> .
(2) Parviz Hassanzadeh.		(4)	
/Rudy Zervigon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1716	/Parviz Hassanzade Supervisory Patent E: Unit 1716	• • •	/Christine Tierney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1700